

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vugnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/063,401 | 04/18/2002 | Thomas Gary O'Keeffe | GEN-0297 | 7872 | |
| 23413 7: | 590 07/11/2003 | | | | |
| CANTOR COLBURN, LLP 55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | DONOVAN, LINCOLN D | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2832 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/11/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/063,401 Applicant(s)

O'Keefee et al.

Examiner

Lincoln Donovan

Art Unit 2832



| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears | on the | e co | ver she | et with | the correspondence address | | |
|--|--|-----------------------|-------|-----------------------|------------|--|--|--|
| Period | for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET T THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no | | | | | | _ | | |
| - If the - If NO - Failure - Any re | g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply as to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the sply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the displayment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | nd will e e applic | ation | s SIX (6) to becon | MONTHS f | rom the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1) 🗆 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | · · | | |
| 2a) 🗌 | This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action | ion is | no | n-final. | | | | |
| 3) 🗆 | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposi | ition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) 💢 | Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> | | | | | is/are pending in the application. | | |
| 4 | 4a) Of the above, claim(s) | | | | | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | |
| 5) 🗆 | Claim(s) | | | | | is/are allowed. | | |
| 6) 🗆 | Claim(s) | | | | | | | |
| 7) 🗆 | Claim(s) | | | | | | | |
| 8) 💢 | Claims <i>1-38</i> | | | are | subject | to restriction and/or election requirement. | | |
| Applica | ation Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) 🗀 | The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are | a) 🗆 | a | ccepte | d or b)[| \square objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the di | rawin | g(s) | be hel | d in abe | yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | |
| 11) | The proposed drawing correction filed on | | | | | | | |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t | o this | Of | fice act | ion. | | | |
| 12) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami | ner. | | | | | | |
| Priority | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13) | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr | iority | un | der 35 | U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | |
| a)[| ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| | 1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have | e bee | n r | eceive | d. | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea | au (Po | СТ | Rule 1 | 7.2(a)). | • | | |
| | see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the | | | - | | | | |
| 14)∟ | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | | | | | | | |
| | ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisiona | | | | | | | |
| 15) | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | buoti | ιζy | un ae r . | 30 U.S. | C. 33 120 and/or 121, | | |
| Attachm | nent(s) otice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) [7 | Inte | rview Sur | nmary (PT(| D-413) Paper No(s). | | |
| _ | otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | _ | | | | t Application (PTO-152) | | |
| _ | formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | 6) | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/063,401

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-17, drawn to a magnetic trip unit latch, classified in class 335, subclass 167.
 - II. Claims 18-34, drawn to a circuit breaker structure, classified in class 335, subclass 6.
 - III. Claim, drawn to a method of controlling magnetic force, classified in class 361, subclass 139.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I-II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the magnetic force can be controlled by changing actuation voltages.

3. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately

Application/Control Number: 10/063,401

Art Unit: 2832

usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as a trip means usable in a circuit

breaker other than that of II. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for

examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the

claimed invention:

Embodiment 1:

figure 4; and

Embodiment 2:

figure 5...

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held

to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the

species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon,

including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims

are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims

to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations

of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election,

applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Page 3

Art Unit: 2832

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Lincoln Donovan whose telephone number is (703) 308-3111.

The fax number for this Group is (703)-872-9318.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

LDD

July 9, 2003